

Criticism of the Use of the Discrepancy Formula

Aaron, P. G. (1997). The impending demise of the discrepancy formula. *Review of Educational Research*, 67(4), 461 - 502.

Crouse, S. L. (1990). Do not be consumed by differing LD discrepancy formulas. *Communiqué*, 18 (6), 14.

Fletcher, J. M., Francis, D., Rourke, B., Shaywitz, S. & Shaywitz, B. (1992) The validity of discrepancy-based definitions of reading disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 25, 555-561

Fletcher, J. M., Shaywitz, S. E., Shankweiler, D., Katz, L., Liberman, I., Steubing, K., Francis, D. J., Fowler, A., & Shaywitz, B. A. (1994).

Cognitive profiles of reading disability: Comparisons of discrepancy and low achievement definitions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 1-18.
Mather, N., & Healey, W. C. Deposing the aptitude-achievement discrepancy as the imperial criterion for learning disabilities. *Learning Disabilities* 1(2), 40-48.

Siegel, L.S. (1998). The discrepancy formula: Its use and abuse. In Shapiro, B. K., Accardo, P. J., & Capute, A. J. (Eds), *Specific reading disability: A view of the spectrum* (pp 123-135). Timonium, MD: York Press.

Stanovich, K. E. (1991) Conceptual and empirical problems with discrepancy definitions of reading disability. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 14, 269-280

Stanovich, K. E. (1993). The construct validity of discrepancy definitions of reading disability. In G. R. Lyon, D. Gray, J. Kavanagh, N. Krasnegor (Eds.), *Better understanding learning disabilities: New views from research and their implications for education and public policies*. Baltimore, MD: Paul H. Brookes.

Stanovich, K. E. (1999). The sociopsychometrics of learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 32(4), 350-361.